Reply to Office Action of October 4, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-22 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1-5, 8-14, 17 and 18 are amended, and claims 19-22 are added. Support for the claims can be found throughout the specification, including the original claims and the drawings. Withdrawal of the rejections in view of the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

I. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph

The Office Action rejects claims 5, 6, 14 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as allegedly indefinite. It is respectfully submitted that the amendments to claims 5 and 14 are responsive to the Examiner's comments, and that claims 5, 6, 14 and 15 meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Accordingly, the rejection should be withdrawn.

II. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

The Office Action rejects claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-12, 14-16 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) over U.S. Patent No. 6,890,385 to Tsuchiya et al. (hereinafter "Tsuchiya"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The present application was filed on July 2, 2003, claiming priority to Korean Patent Application No. P2002-38347 filed in Korea on July 3, 2002. A certified translation of this priority document will be forwarded under separate cover in order to effectuate this claim for priority. The July 3, 2002 priority date associated with the present application clearly predates the effective date of the Tsuchiya reference, and thus it is respectfully submitted that Tsuchiya is Amdt. dated January 4, 2006

Reply to Office Action of October 4, 2005

not proper reference, and thus cannot be properly applied in a rejection of the claims of the present application. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

III. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The Office Action rejects claims 4, 8, 13 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Tsuchiya. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As set forth above, Tsuchiya is not a proper reference, and thus cannot be properly applied in a rejection of the claims of the present application. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection of claims 4, 8, 13 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Tsuchiya is requested.

IV. New Claims 19-22

New claims 19-22 are added to the application. It is respectfully submitted that new claims 19-22 meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112, and are allowable at least for the reasons set forth above with respect to independent claim 10, from which they ultimately depend, as well as for their added features.

V. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that any additional changes would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned, **JOANNA K. MASON**, at the telephone number listed below.

Amdt. dated January 4, 2006

Reply to Office Action of October 4, 2005

Docket No. K-0533

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is

hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this,

concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and

please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted, FLESHNER & KIM, LLP

Registration No. 38,128

Joanna K. Mason

Registration No. 56,408

P.O. Box 221200 Chantilly, Virginia 20153-1200

(703) 766-3701 DYK:CLD:JKM/ah

Date: January 4, 2006

Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610